

Comprehensive Energy Audit For New Stuyahok Water Plant



Prepared For City of New Stuyahok

February 14, 2017

Prepared By: Bailey Gamble

Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium 4500 Diplomacy Dr., Suite 454 Anchorage, AK 99508

Table of Contents

PREFACE	3
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	3
1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	4
2. AUDIT AND ANALYSIS BACKGROUND	8
2.1 Program Description	8
2.2 Audit Description	8
2.3. Method of Analysis	9
2.4 Limitations of Study	10
3. NEW STUYAHOK WATER PLANT	11
3.1. Building Description	11
3.2 Predicted Energy Use	17
3.2.1 Energy Usage / Tariffs	17
3.2.2 Energy Use Index (EUI)	20
3.3 AkWarm© Building Simulation	22
4. ENERGY COST SAVING MEASURES	23
4.1 Summary of Results	23
4.2 Interactive Effects of Projects	25
Appendix A – Energy Audit Report – Project Summary	32
Appendix B – Actual Fuel Use versus Modeled Fuel Use	34
Appendix C - Electrical Demands	35

PREFACE

This energy audit was conducted using funds provided by the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development, and the State of Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation. Coordination with the City of New Stuyahok has been undertaken to provide maximum accuracy in identifying facilities to audit and coordinating potential follow up retrofit activities.

The Rural Energy Initiative at the Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium (ANTHC) prepared this document for the City of New Stuyahok, Alaska. The author of this report is Bailey Gamble, Mechanical Engineer I.

The purpose of this report is to provide a comprehensive document of the findings and analysis that resulted from an energy audit conducted in January of 2016 by the Rural Energy Initiative of ANTHC. This report analyzes historical energy use and identifies costs and savings of recommended energy conservation measures. Discussions of site-specific concerns, non-recommended measures, and an energy conservation action plan are also included in this report.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The ANTHC Energy Projects Group gratefully acknowledges the assistance of Water Plant Operators Nick Epchook and Nick Suskuk, City of New Stuyahok Mayor Randy Hastings, City Clerk Anita Gust and Traditional Council Administrator William C. Peterson, Jr.

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report was prepared for the City of New Stuyahok. The scope of the audit focused on New Stuyahok Water Plant and booster station. The water and sanitation system also includes an old lift station that is expected to go offline as a new one that is currently under construction comes online in spring or summer of 2017. Since the old lift station will soon be out of commission and isn't experiencing any outstanding issues, it has been excluded from the audit.

The scope of this report is a comprehensive energy study, which included an analysis of building shell, interior and exterior lighting systems, heating and ventilation systems, and plug loads.

Based on electricity and fuel oil prices in effect at the time of the audit, the total predicted energy costs are \$59,814 per year. Electricity represents the largest portion with an annual cost of approximately \$38,481. This includes about \$12,616 paid by the village and about \$25,865 paid by the Power Cost Equalization (PCE) program through the State of Alaska. Fuel represents the remaining portion, with an annual cost of approximately \$21,333.

The State of Alaska PCE program provides a subsidy to rural communities across the state to lower electricity costs and make energy affordable in rural Alaska. In New Stuyahok, the current cost of electricity without PCE is \$0.61/kWh and the cost of electricity with PCE is around \$0.20/kWh, saving the village over \$20,000 a year on electricity for the water plant and booster station.

Table 1.1 lists the total usage of electricity and #1 heating oil in the New Stuyahok Water Plant before and after the proposed retrofits.

Predicted Annual Fuel Use					
Fuel Use	Existing Building	With Proposed Retrofits			
Electricity	63,084 kWh	48,565 kWh			
#1 Oil	3,272 gallons	3,173 gallons			

Table 1.1: Predicted Annual Fuel Use for the Water Plant

Benchmark figures facilitate comparing energy use between different buildings. Table 1.2 below lists several benchmarks for the audited building. More details can be found in section 3.2.2.

Table 1.2: Building Benchmarks for the Water Plant

Building Benchmarks						
Description	EUI	EUI/HDD	ECI			
Beschption	(kBtu/Sq.Ft.)	(Btu/Sq.Ft./HDD)	(\$/Sq.Ft.)			
Existing Building	825.5	73.02	\$76.29			
With Proposed Retrofits	745.7	65.95	\$64.18			
EUI: Energy Use Intensity - The annual site energy consumption divided by the structure's conditioned area.						
EUI/HDD: Energy Use Intensity per Heating Degree Day.						
ECI: Energy Cost Index - The total annual cost of energy divided by the square footage of the conditioned space in the						
building.						

Table 1.3 below summarizes the energy efficiency measures analyzed for the New Stuyahok Water Plant. Listed are the estimates of the annual savings, installed costs, and two different financial measures of investment return.

	PRIORITY LIST – ENERGY EFFICIENCY MEASURES							
Rank	Feature	Improvement Description	Annual Energy Savings	Installed Cost	Savings to Investment Ratio, SIR ¹	Simple Payback (Years) ²	CO2 Savings	
1	Other Electrical - Controls Retrofit: Booster Pump	Reduce the setpoint on the booster pumps from 63 psi down to 45 psi to reduce load and run time.	\$4,963 / 27.8 MMBTU	\$200	153.61	0.0	14,645.0	
2	Setback Thermostat: New Stuyahok Water Plant	Implement a Heating Temperature Setback to 55.0 deg F when the water plant is unoccupied.	\$489 / 8.8 MMBTU	\$200	32.50	0.4	1,562.1	
3	Other Electrical - Controls Retrofit: Well #3 Pump	Replace pressure transducer in the water storage tank, replace heat tape controls at WST to prevent freezing of transducer and incoming pipes. Control intake pump based upon water storage tank level rather than manual switching.	\$1,539 + \$250 Maint. Savings / 8.6 MMBTU	\$2,200	6.86	1.2	4,540.2	
4	Other Electrical - Controls Retrofit: Well #2 Pump	Replace pressure transducer in the water storage tank, replace heat tape controls at WST to prevent freezing of transducer and incoming pipes. Control intake pump based upon water storage tank level rather than manual switching.	\$1,026 + \$250 Maint. Savings / 5.7 MMBTU	\$2,200	4.90	1.7	3,026.9	
5	Lighting - Combined Retrofit: Main Room Lighting	Replace with new energy-efficient LED lighting, replace manual switching with occupancy sensor.	\$266 / 0.9 MMBTU	\$1,460	2.09	5.5	772.1	

Table 1.3: Summary of Recommended Energy Efficiency Measures

	PRIORITY LIST – ENERGY EFFICIENCY MEASURES						
			Annual		Savings to	Simple	
Rank	Feature	Improvement Description	Energy Savinas	Installed Cost	Ratio, SIR ¹	Yayback (Years) ²	CO ₂ Savinas
6	Other – Distribution Loop 1 (Lower Loop) Heat Load	Replace current heat exchangers with larger ones better able to meet heating demand, replace manual on/off switch with T775 and modulated Belimo valves	\$0 + \$500 Maint. Savings / 0.0 MMBTU	\$3,500	1.22	7.0	0.0
7	Other – Distribution Loop 2 (Upper Loop) Heat Load	Replace current heat exchangers with larger ones better able to meet heating demand, replace manual on/off switch with T775 and modulated Belimo valves	\$0 + \$500 Maint. Savings / 0.0 MMBTU	\$3,500	1.22	7.0	0.0
8	Lighting - Combined Retrofit: Office Lighting	Replace with new energy-efficient LED lighting, replace manual switching with occupancy sensor.	\$79 / 0.3 MMBTU	\$820	1.10	10.4	228.5
9	Other – Booster Station Heat Load	Replace water damaged section and insulate floor.	\$183 / 3.6 MMBTU	\$2,000	0.85	10.9	590.6
10	Water Plant Heating System	Replace controls with T775, replace limit switches (aquastat, hi temp shutoff), re- plumb boilers on nodes, install a circ pump on each node, replace boiler head or install genesis controller on boiler #2, tune and clean boilers, train operators in maintenance.	\$184 + \$500 Maint. Savings / 2.8 MMBTU	\$18,000	0.58	26.3	579.3
11	Other Electrical - Power Retrofit: Lower Loop Circ Pump	Replace left hand lower loop circ pump motor, replace Victaulic valves on all four pumps, and replace flow meters.	\$909 + \$500 Maint. Savings / 4.7 MMBTU	\$24,000	0.36	17.0	2,675.0
12	Air Tightening	Perform air sealing to reduce air leakage by 10 cfm at 50 Pascals.	\$3 / 0.1 MMBTU	\$500	0.06	156.7	10.2
13	Lighting - Combined Retrofit: Exterior Lighting	Replace with new energy-efficient LED lighting, replace manual switching with daylight sensor.	-\$139 / -0.8 MMBTU	\$580	-1.49	999.9	-410.9

	PRIORITY LIST – ENERGY EFFICIENCY MEASURES							
			Annual		Savings to	Simple		
		Improvement	Energy	Installed	Investment	Payback	CO ₂	
Rank	Feature	Description	Savings	Cost	Ratio, SIR ¹	(Years) ²	Savings	
	TOTAL, all		\$9,500	\$59,160	1.62	4.9	28,218.9	
	measures		+					
			\$2,500					
			Maint.					
			Savings					
			/ 62.6					
			MMBTU					

Table Notes:

¹ Savings to Investment Ratio (SIR) is a life-cycle cost measure calculated by dividing the total savings over the life of a project (expressed in today's dollars) by its investment costs. The SIR is an indication of the profitability of a measure; the higher the SIR, the more profitable the project. An SIR greater than 1.0 indicates a cost-effective project (i.e. more savings than cost). Remember that this profitability is based on the position of that Energy Efficiency Measure (EEM) in the overall list and assumes that the measures above it are implemented first.

² Simple Payback (SP) is a measure of the length of time required for the savings from an EEM to payback the investment cost, not counting interest on the investment and any future changes in energy prices. It is calculated by dividing the investment cost by the expected first-year savings of the EEM.

With all of these energy efficiency measures in place, the annual utility cost can be reduced by \$9,500 per year, or 15.9% of the buildings' total energy costs. These measures are estimated to cost \$59,160, for an overall simple payback period of 4.9 years

Table 1.4 below is a breakdown of the annual energy cost across various energy end use types, such as Space Heating and Water Heating. The first row in the table shows the breakdown for the building as it is now. The second row shows the expected breakdown of energy cost for the building assuming all of the retrofits in this report are implemented. Finally, the last row shows the annual energy savings that will be achieved from the retrofits.

Table 1.4: Detailed Breakdown of Energy Costs in the Building

Annual Energy Cost Estimate						
Description	Space Heating	Lighting	Other Electrical	Water Circulation Heat	Total Cost	
Existing Building	\$1,636	\$811	\$36,914	\$20,452	\$59,814	
With Proposed Retrofits	\$1,078	\$548	\$28,443	\$20,246	\$50,314	
Savings	\$558	\$263	\$8,472	\$206	\$9,500	

2. AUDIT AND ANALYSIS BACKGROUND

2.1 Program Description

This audit included services to identify, develop, and evaluate energy efficiency measures at the New Stuyahok Water Plant. The scope of this project included evaluating building shell, lighting and other electrical systems, and heating and ventilation equipment, motors and pumps. Measures were analyzed based on life-cycle-cost techniques, which include the initial cost of the equipment, life of the equipment, annual energy cost, annual maintenance cost, and a discount rate of 3.0%/year in excess of general inflation.

2.2 Audit Description

Preliminary audit information was gathered in preparation for the site survey. The site survey provides critical information in deciphering where energy is used and what opportunities exist within a building. The entire site was surveyed to inventory the following to gain an understanding of how each building operates:

- Building envelope (roof, windows, etc.)
- Heating and ventilation equipment
- Lighting systems and controls
- Building-specific equipment
- Water distribution

The building site visit was performed to survey all major building components and systems. The site visit included detailed inspection of energy consuming components. Summary of building occupancy schedules, operating and maintenance practices, and energy management programs provided by the building manager were collected along with the system and components to determine a more accurate impact on energy consumption.

Details collected from New Stuyahok Water Plant enable a model of the building's energy usage to be developed, highlighting the building's total energy consumption, energy consumption by specific building component, and equivalent energy cost. The analysis involves distinguishing the different fuels used on site, and analyzing their consumption in different activity areas of the building.

New Stuyahok Water Plant is classified as being made up of the following activity areas:

1) New Stuyahok Water Plant: 784 square feet

In addition, the methodology involves taking into account a wide range of factors specific to the building. These factors are used in the construction of the model of energy used. The factors include:

- Occupancy hours
- Local climate conditions

• Prices paid for energy

2.3. Method of Analysis

Data collected was processed using AkWarm[©] Energy Use Software to estimate energy savings for each of the proposed energy efficiency measures (EEMs). The recommendations focus on the building envelope; heating and ventilation; lighting, plug load, and other electrical improvements; and motor and pump systems that will reduce annual energy consumption.

EEMs are evaluated based on building use and processes, local climate conditions, building construction type, function, operational schedule, existing conditions, and foreseen future plans. Energy savings are calculated based on industry standard methods and engineering estimations.

Our analysis provides a number of tools for assessing the cost effectiveness of various improvement options. These tools utilize **Life-Cycle Costing**, which is defined in this context as a method of cost analysis that estimates the total cost of a project over the period of time that includes both the construction cost and ongoing maintenance and operating costs.

Savings to Investment Ratio (SIR) = Savings divided by Investment

Savings includes the total discounted dollar savings considered over the life of the improvement. When these savings are added up, changes in future fuel prices as projected by the Department of Energy are included. Future savings are discounted to the present to account for the time-value of money (i.e. money's ability to earn interest over time). The **Investment** in the SIR calculation includes the labor and materials required to install the measure. An SIR value of at least 1.0 indicates that the project is cost-effective—total savings exceed the investment costs.

Simple payback is a cost analysis method whereby the investment cost of a project is divided by the first year's savings of the project to give the number of years required to recover the cost of the investment. This may be compared to the expected time before replacement of the system or component will be required. For example, if a boiler costs \$12,000 and results in a savings of \$1,000 in the first year, the payback time is 12 years. If the boiler has an expected life to replacement of 10 years, it would not be financially viable to make the investment since the payback period of 12 years is greater than the project life.

The Simple Payback calculation does not consider likely increases in future annual savings due to energy price increases. As an offsetting simplification, simple payback does not consider the need to earn interest on the investment (i.e. it does not consider the time-value of money). Because of these simplifications, the SIR figure is considered to be a better financial investment indicator than the Simple Payback measure.

Measures are implemented in order of cost-effectiveness. The program first calculates individual SIRs, and ranks all measures by SIR, higher SIRs at the top of the list. An individual measure must have an individual SIR>=1 to make the cut. Next the building is modified and resimulated with the highest ranked measure included. Now all remaining measures are reevaluated and ranked, and the next most cost-effective measure is implemented. AkWarm

goes through this iterative process until all appropriate measures have been evaluated and installed.

It is important to note that the savings for each recommendation is calculated based on implementing the most cost effective measure first, and then cycling through the list to find the next most cost effective measure. Implementation of more than one EEM often affects the savings of other EEMs. The savings may in some cases be relatively higher if an individual EEM is implemented in lieu of multiple recommended EEMs. For example implementing a reduced operating schedule for inefficient lighting will result in relatively high savings. Implementing a reduced operating schedule for newly installed efficient lighting will result in lower relative savings, because the efficient lighting system uses less energy during each hour of operation. If multiple EEM's are recommended to be implemented, AkWarm calculates the combined savings appropriately.

Cost savings are calculated based on estimated initial costs for each measure. Installation costs include labor and equipment to estimate the full up-front investment required to implement a change. Costs are derived from Means Cost Data, industry publications, and local contractors and equipment suppliers.

2.4 Limitations of Study

All results are dependent on the quality of input data provided, and can only act as an approximation. In some instances, several methods may achieve the identified savings. This report is not intended as a final design document. The design professional or other persons following the recommendations shall accept responsibility and liability for the results.

3. NEW STUYAHOK WATER PLANT

3.1. Building Description

The 784 square foot New Stuyahok Water Plant was constructed in 1984, with a normal occupancy of 1 people. The number of hours of operation for this building average about 2 hours per day, considering all seven days of the week. Two operators currently share the responsibility of operating and maintaining the system passing in and out of the water plant as they tend to various components of the system throughout the day.



Figure 1: Aerial view of New Stuyahok, Water System Component Locations

Raw water is pumped from two 120 foot deep wells. Well #2 is located just southeast of the water plant and well #3 is located approximately 80 feet to the north of the building (well #1 is no longer in use). The well pumps are controlled manually by the operator to pump water every other two days (two days on, two days off) so are running approximately half the time.

The raw water in New Stuyahok is not treated – there are no chemicals added and no filtration process. After entering the water plant the raw water is diverted to one of two distribution loops. Prior to exiting the plant, during the winter months, heat add systems on each loop heat the water to 38°F. The lower loop (Loop 1) consists of 6000 feet of 4" PVC and 1200 feet of 4" HDPE pipe buried to an average depth of 3 feet. The upper loop (Loop 2) consists of 8300 feet of 4" arctic pipe buried to an average depth of 5 feet.

The upper loop delivers water to a 400,000 gallon water storage tank located behind the school. A pressure transducer in the tank is meant to read tank depth and control the raw water well pumps at

the water plant via a telemetry system, however, the pressure transducer was not functioning at the time of the site visit. Water flows by gravity from the water storage tank to serve the homes along the upper loop before returning to the water plant. A booster station supplies the additional pressure needed to the branch of the upper loop that serves the highest elevation homes (primarily the teacher housing).



Figure 2: Main room in New Stuyahok Water Plant

Description of Building Shell

The exterior walls of the water treatment plant are constructed with single stud 2x6 lumber construction with a 16-inch offset. The average wall height is approximately 10 ft. The walls have approximately 5.5 inches of batt insulation damaged due to age. There is approximately 1,120 square feet of wall space in the WTP.

The WTP has a cathedral ceiling with 2x6 lumber construction. The roof has standard framing and a 24-inch offset. The peak ceiling height is approximately 13'6". The ceiling has approximately 5.5 inches of insulated polyurethane panels with damage due to age. There is approximately 808 square feet of roof space in the building.

The WTP is built on grade on a gravel pad. The concrete floor contains an insulated layer of 6 inch polyurethane panels significantly damaged by age. There is approximately 784 feet of floor space in the building. Differential movement has allowed the floor to separate from the wall which is especially noticeable on the northeast side of the building. The operators have used spray foam to fill the gaps. Thermal photos show lower temperatures along this section, however, nothing unusual for a building joint.

There are three windows located throughout the building. All windows are the same size, approximately 26" x 30". All windows are double-pane glass with vinyl frames in fairly good condition.



Figure 3: Evidence of differential movement between the floor and wall, thermal image.

There is a $3' \times 6'8''$ metal door with an arctic entry on the front (southwestern) side of the building. There is some damage to the outer frame causing air leakage into the arctic entry.

Description of Heating Plants

The Heating Plants used in the building are:

Boiler 1

	Nameplate Information:	Burnham Low Pressure Boiler Model V8H5
	Fuel Type:	#1 Oil
	Input Rating:	172,000 BTU/hour
	Steady State Efficiency:	74 %
	Idle Loss:	0 %
	Heat Distribution Type:	Water
	Boiler Operation:	Nov – May
	Fire Rate:	1.65 gallons/hour
Boiler	2	
	Nameplate Information:	Burnham Low Pressure Boiler Model V8H6
	Fuel Type:	#1 Oil
	Input Rating:	172,000 BTU/hour
	Steady State Efficiency:	82 %
	Idle Loss:	2 %
	Heat Distribution Type:	Water
	Boiler Operation:	Nov – May
	Fire Rate:	1.65 gallons/hour
Space	Heater	
	Nameplate Information:	MPI Monitor 422
	Fuel Type:	#1 Oil
	Input Rating:	17,400 BTU/hr
	Steady State Efficiency:	88 %
	Idle Loss:	0 %

Heat Distribution Type: Fire Rate:

Air 0.13 gallons/hour

The demand for heat in the water plant is seasonal and includes space heating and two heatadd systems; one for each distribution loop. Two Burnham boilers serve to meet the heating demand of the water plant. At the time of this audit, only Boiler 2 was in use. Combustion test results show that in their current conditions, Boiler 2 is running more efficiently than Boiler 1 (84% vs 72% efficiency) and that the temperature in the stack of Boiler 1 was nearly twice that of Boiler 2 (1029°F vs 503°F) suggesting for cleaning and tuning. The boilers are turned on and off manually. A manually controlled circulating pump circulates heated water through the boilers and into the main hydronic loop.



Figure 4: Boilers in the water plant.

Hold Oil 2	(Hold Oil 2 🚥
02 1.4 % C0 278 ppm Eff 73.8 % C02 14.6 % Print Menu Save	STK 1029 °F F-JIR 67.4 °F EA 6.4 % CO(R) 298 ppm Print Menu Save

Figure 5: Boiler 1 combustion test results.

Hold	0il 2		Hold	0i1 2	
O ₂ CO Eff CO ₂ Print	2 84 13 Menu	.6 % 24 ppm .2 % .7 % Save	T-STK T-AIR EA CO(0) Print	50 60. 13. 2 Menu	3°F 2°F 1% 8 ppm Save

Figure 6: Boiler 2 combustion test results.

The booster station is heated by an MPI Monitor oil-burning space heater.



Figure 7: Inside the booster station, Monitor 422 on the left.

Space Heating Distribution Systems

Space heating is provided by a hydronic loop. A circulating pump circulates heated water through the hydronic loop. The heat is distributed by a 1/25 HP unit heater in the office and a 1/20 HP heater in the main room of the water plant.

Description of Building Ventilation System

Ventilation in the building is achieved through an air make-up vent.

Lighting

Lighting in the water plant consumes approximately 1,329 kWh annually constituting about 2% of the building's current electrical consumption.

Type of bulb	Total Number	kWh/year	Location(s)
	of Bulbs		
32 W, 4' T8 fluorescent	32	1,329	Boiler room, main mechanical room, tank corridor, hall, storage room, bathroom, utility room
100 W incandescent	1	0	Exterior

Major Equipment

Table 3.2 contains the details on each of the major electricity consuming mechanical components found in the water treatment plant. Major equipment consumes approximately 57,076 kWh annually constituting about 91% of the system's current electrical consumption. The booster pumps are the single largest electrical consuming components in the system, constituting 35% of the total electrical consumption.

Table 3.2: Major Equipment List

Major Pumps + Motors	Purpose	Motor Size	Operating Schedule	Annual Energy Consumption (kWh)
Well #2 Pump	Draw raw water from well number 2.	2 HP	pumping approximately half the time	8,408
Well #3 Pump	Draw raw water from well number 3.	3 HP	pumping approximately half the time	12,611
Upper Loop Circ Pump x 2	Circulate water in distribution loop to prevent freezing, deliver water to water storage tank. One pump runs at a time.	2 HP	always on during winter heating months	5,332
Lower Loop Circ Pump x 2	Circulate water in distribution loop to prevent freezing. One pump runs at a time.	2 HP	always on during winter heating months	7,484
Booster Pump x 2	Boost pressure in distribution loop branch serving teacher housing. One pump was in operation.	7 HP	operating about 65% of the time	21,926
Control panel x 3	Controlling various system components.	50 W	always on	1,315
	, , ,	Total Er	nergy Consumption	57,076

<u>Heat Tape</u>

There is approximately 100 feet of manually controlled heat tape on the portion of pipeline that runs from well #2 over to the water plant. The heat tape is always on during the winter heating months and consumes approximately 3,061 kWh annually or about 5% of total electrical consumption. There is a heat tape meant to prevent freezing of the pressure transducer and inlet piping on the water storage tank as well. This heat is designed to be controlled by a thermostat, but does not currently appear to be turning on when it should.

3.2 Predicted Energy Use

3.2.1 Energy Usage / Tariffs

The electric usage profile charts (below) represents the predicted electrical usage for the building. If actual electricity usage records were available, the model used to predict usage was calibrated to approximately match actual usage. The electric utility measures consumption in kilowatt-hours (kWh) and maximum demand in kilowatts (kW). One kWh usage is equivalent to 1,000 watts running for one hour. One KW of electric demand is equivalent to 1,000 watts running at a particular moment.

The fuel oil usage profile shows the fuel oil usage for the building. Fuel oil consumption is measured in gallons. One gallon of #1 Fuel Oil provides approximately 132,000 BTUs of energy.

Alaska Village Electric Cooperative (AVEC) runs the power plant in the city of New Stuyahok. The utility provides electricity to the residents of New Stuyahok as well as commercial and public facilities.

The average cost for each type of fuel used in this building is shown below in Table 3.3. This figure includes all surcharges, subsidies, and utility customer charges:

Table 3.3: Energy Rates by Fuel Type in New Stuyahok

Table 3.3 – Average Energy Cost								
Description Average Energy Cost								
Electricity	\$ 0.61/kWh							
#1 Oil \$ 6.52/gallons								

3.2.1.1 Total Energy Use and Cost Breakdown

At current rates, City of New Stuyahok pays approximately \$59,814 annually for electricity and other fuel costs for the New Stuyahok Water Plant.

Figure 8 below reflects the estimated distribution of costs across the primary end uses of energy based on the AkWarm[©] computer simulation. Comparing the "Retrofit" bar in the figure to the "Existing" bar shows the potential savings from implementing all of the energy efficiency measures shown in this report.

Annual Energy Costs by End Use



Figure 8: Annual energy costs by end use.

Figure 9 below shows how the annual energy cost of the building splits between the different fuels used by the building. The "Existing" bar shows the breakdown for the building as it is now; the "Retrofit" bar shows the predicted costs if all of the energy efficiency measures in this report are implemented.



Figure 9: Annual energy costs by fuel type.

Figure 10 below addresses only Space Heating costs. The figure shows how each heat loss component contributes to those costs; for example, the figure shows how much annual space heating cost is caused by the heat loss through the Walls/Doors. Note that many components are related – poorly sealed doors and windows contribute to air leakage, increasing space heating demand. For each component, the space heating cost for the Existing building is shown (blue bar) and the space heating cost assuming all retrofits are implemented (yellow bar) are shown.

Annual Space Heating Cost by Component



Figure 10: Annual space heating costs by component.

The tables below show AkWarm's estimate of the monthly fuel use for each of the fuels used in the building. For each fuel, the fuel use is broken down across the energy end uses.

Electrical Consumption (kWh)												
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec											Dec	
Space Heating 30 23 17				4	5	22	14	16	29	57	35	32
Lighting	113	103	113	109	113	109	113	113	109	113	109	113
Other Electrical	6489	5914	6489	6280	5095	3666	3789	3789	3666	3789	5060	6489
Water Circulation Heat	162	148	162	157	79	0	0	0	0	0	84	162

Table 3.5:	Fuel Oil	Consumption	Records b	by Category
------------	----------	-------------	-----------	-------------

Fuel Oil #1 Consumption (Gallons)												
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec								Dec				
Space Heating 21 15 15 9 2 18 12 14 24 49 22 2										23		
Water Circulation Heat	518	472	518	501	246	0	0	0	0	0	275	518

3.2.2 Energy Use Index (EUI)

Energy Use Index (EUI) is a measure of a building's annual energy utilization per square foot of building. This calculation is completed by converting all utility usage consumed by a building for one year, to British Thermal Units (Btu) or kBtu, and dividing this number by the building square footage. EUI is a good measure of a building's energy use and is utilized regularly for comparison of energy performance for similar building types. The Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) Buildings Technology Center under a contract with the U.S. Department of Energy maintains a Benchmarking Building Energy Performance Program. The ORNL website determines how a building's energy use compares with similar facilities throughout the U.S. and in a specific region or state.

Source use differs from site usage when comparing a building's energy consumption with the national average. Site energy use is the energy consumed by the building at the building site only. Source energy use includes the site energy use as well as all of the losses to create and distribute the energy to the building. Source energy represents the total amount of raw fuel that is required to operate the building. It incorporates all transmission, delivery, and production losses, which allows for a complete assessment of energy efficiency in a building. The type of utility purchased has a substantial impact on the source energy use of a building. The EPA has determined that source energy is the most comparable unit for evaluation purposes and overall global impact. Both the site and source EUI ratings for the building are provided to understand and compare the differences in energy use.

The site and source EUIs for this building are calculated as follows. (See Table 3.4 for details):

Building Site EUI = <u>(Electric Usage in kBtu + Fuel Usage in kBtu)</u> Building Square Footage

Building Source EUI = <u>(Electric Usage in kBtu X SS Ratio + Fuel Usage in kBtu X SS Ratio)</u> Building Square Footage

where "SS Ratio" is the Source Energy to Site Energy ratio for the particular fuel.

Energy Type	Building Fuel Use per Year	Site Energy Use per Year, kBTU	Source/Site Ratio	Source Energy Use per Year, kBTU				
Electricity	63,084 kWh	215,304	3.340	719,115				
#1 Oil	3,272 gallons	431,898	1.010	436,217				
Total		647,202		1,155,332				
BUILDING AREA		784	Square Feet					
BUILDING SITE EUI		826	kBTU/Ft²/Yr					
BUILDING SOURCE EU	li	1,474	kBTU/Ft ² /Yr					
* Site - Source Ratio data is provided by the Energy Star Performance Rating Methodology for Incorporating								
Source Energy Use do	cument issued March 2011.							

Table 3.7: New Stuyahok Water Plant EUI Calculations

Table 3.8: New Stuyahok Building Benchmarks

Building Benchmarks									
Description	EUI	EUI/HDD	ECI						
Description	(kBtu/Sq.Ft.)	(Btu/Sq.Ft./HDD)	(\$/Sq.Ft.)						
Existing Building 825.5 73.02 \$7									
With Proposed Retrofits	745.7	65.95	\$64.18						
EUI: Energy Use Intensity - The annual site e	nergy consumption divided	by the structure's conditioned are	a.						
EUI/HDD: Energy Use Intensity per Heating E	Degree Day.								
ECI: Energy Cost Index - The total annual cost of energy divided by the square footage of the conditioned space in the									
building.									

3.3 AkWarm© Building Simulation

An accurate model of the building performance can be created by simulating the thermal performance of the walls, roof, windows and floors of the building. The HVAC system and central plant are modeled as well, accounting for the outside air ventilation required by the building and the heat recovery equipment in place.

The model uses local weather data and is trued up to historical energy use to ensure its accuracy. The model can be used now and in the future to measure the utility bill impact of all types of energy projects, including improving building insulation, modifying glazing, changing air handler schedules, increasing heat recovery, installing high efficiency boilers, using variable air volume air handlers, adjusting outside air ventilation and adding cogeneration systems.

For the purposes of this study, the New Stuyahok Water Plant was modeled using AkWarm© energy use software to establish a baseline space heating and cooling energy usage. Climate data from New Stuyahok was used for analysis. From this, the model was be calibrated to predict the impact of theoretical energy savings measures. Once annual energy savings from a particular measure were predicted and the initial capital cost was estimated, payback scenarios were approximated.

Limitations of AkWarm© Models

• The model is based on typical mean year weather data for New Stuyahok. This data represents the average ambient weather profile as observed over approximately 30 years. As such, the gas and electric profiles generated will not likely compare perfectly with actual energy billing information from any single year. This is especially true for years with extreme warm or cold periods, or even years with unexpectedly moderate weather.

• The heating load model is a simple two-zone model consisting of the building's core interior spaces and the building's perimeter spaces. This simplified approach loses accuracy for buildings that have large variations in heating loads across different parts of the building.

The energy balances shown in Section 3.1 were derived from the output generated by the AkWarm[©] simulations.

4. ENERGY COST SAVING MEASURES

4.1 Summary of Results

The energy saving measures are summarized in Table 4.1. Please refer to the individual measure descriptions later in this report for more detail.

	PRI	ORITY LIST – ENER	GY EFFI		MEASURES	;	
Rank	Feature	Improvement Description	Annual Energy Savings	Installed Cost	Savings to Investment Ratio, SIR ¹	Simple Payback (Years) ²	CO2 Savings
1	Other Electrical - Controls Retrofit: Booster Pump	Reduce the setpoint on the booster pumps from 63 psi down to 45 psi to reduce load and run time.	\$4,963 / 27.8 MMBTU	\$200	153.61	0.0	14,645.0
2	Setback Thermostat: New Stuyahok Water Plant	Implement a Heating Temperature Setback to 55.0 deg F when the water plant is unoccupied.	\$489 / 8.8 MMBTU	\$200	32.50	0.4	1,562.1
3	Other Electrical - Controls Retrofit: Well #3 Pump	Replace pressure transducer in the water storage tank, replace heat tape controls at WST to prevent freezing of transducer and incoming pipes. Control intake pump based upon water storage tank level rather than manual switching.	\$1,539 + \$250 Maint. Savings / 8.6 MMBTU	\$2,200	6.86	1.2	4,540.2
4	Other Electrical - Controls Retrofit: Well #2 Pump	Replace pressure transducer in the water storage tank, replace heat tape controls at WST to prevent freezing of transducer and incoming pipes. Control intake pump based upon water storage tank level rather than manual switching.	\$1,026 + \$250 Maint. Savings / 5.7 MMBTU	\$2,200	4.90	1.7	3,026.9
5	Lighting - Combined Retrofit: Main Room Lighting	Replace with new energy-efficient LED lighting, replace manual switching with occupancy sensor.	\$266 / 0.9 MMBTU	\$1,460	2.09	5.5	772.1

	PRI	ORITY LIST – ENER	GY EFFI		MEASURES	5	
			Annual	la stalls d	Savings to	Simple	60
Rank	Feature	Improvement Description	Energy Savinas	Cost	Ratio, SIR ¹	Years) ²	Savinas
6	Other – Distribution Loop 1 (Lower Loop) Heat Load	Replace current heat exchangers with larger ones better able to meet heating demand, replace manual on/off switch with T775 and modulated Belimo valves	\$0 + \$500 Maint. Savings / 0.0 MMBTU	\$3,500	1.22	7.0	0.0
7	Other – Distribution Loop 2 (Upper Loop) Heat Load	Replace current heat exchangers with larger ones better able to meet heating demand, replace manual on/off switch with T775 and modulated Belimo valves	\$0 + \$500 Maint. Savings / 0.0 MMBTU	\$3,500	1.22	7.0	0.0
8	Lighting - Combined Retrofit: Office Lighting	Replace with new energy-efficient LED lighting, replace manual switching with occupancy sensor.	\$79 / 0.3 MMBTU	\$820	1.10	10.4	228.5
9	Other – Booster Station Heat Load	Replace water damaged section and insulate floor.	\$183 / 3.6 MMBTU	\$2,000	0.85	10.9	590.6
10	Water Plant Heating System	Replace controls with T775, replace limit switches (aquastat, hi temp shutoff), re- plumb boilers on nodes, install a circ pump on each node, replace boiler head or install genesis controller on boiler #2, tune and clean boilers, train operators in maintenance.	\$184 + \$500 Maint. Savings / 2.8 MMBTU	\$18,000	0.58	26.3	579.3
11	Other Electrical - Power Retrofit: Lower Loop Circ Pump	Replace left hand lower loop circ pump motor, replace Victaulic valves on all four pumps, and replace flow meters.	\$909 + \$500 Maint. Savings / 4.7 MMBTU	\$24,000	0.36	17.0	2,675.0
12	Air Tightening	Perform air sealing to reduce air leakage by 10 cfm at 50 Pascals.	\$3 / 0.1 MMBTU	\$500	0.06	156.7	10.2
13	Lighting - Combined Retrofit: Exterior Lighting	Replace with new energy-efficient LED lighting, replace manual switching with daylight sensor.	-\$139 / -0.8 MMBTU	\$580	-1.49	999.9	-410.9

	PRIORITY LIST – ENERGY EFFICIENCY MEASURES									
	Annual Savings to Simple									
		Improvement	Energy	Installed	Investment	Payback	CO ₂			
Rank	Feature	Description	Savings	Cost	Ratio, SIR ¹	(Years) ²	Savings			
	TOTAL, all		\$9,500	\$59,160	1.62	4.9	28,218.9			
	measures		+							
			\$2,500							
			Maint.							
			Savings							
			/ 62.6							
			MMBTU							

4.2 Interactive Effects of Projects

The savings for a particular measure are calculated assuming all recommended EEMs coming before that measure in the list are implemented. If some EEMs are not implemented, savings for the remaining EEMs will be affected. For example, if ceiling insulation is not added, then savings from a project to replace the heating system will be increased, because the heating system for the building supplies a larger load.

In general, all projects are evaluated sequentially so energy savings associated with one EEM would not also be attributed to another EEM. By modeling the recommended project sequentially, the analysis accounts for interactive affects among the EEMs and does not "double count" savings.

Interior lighting, plug loads, facility equipment, and occupants generate heat within the building. When the building is in cooling mode, these items contribute to the overall cooling demands of the building; therefore, lighting efficiency improvements will reduce cooling requirements in air-conditioned buildings. Conversely, lighting-efficiency improvements are anticipated to slightly increase heating requirements. Heating penalties and cooling benefits were included in the lighting project analysis.

4.3 Building Shell Measures

4.3.1 Air Sealing Measures

Rank	Location		Existi	ing Air Leakage Level (cfm@50/	75 Pa)	commended Air Leakage Reduction (cfm@50/75 Pa)			
12			Air Ti	ightness estimated as: 1176 cfm	at 50 Pascals	Perform air sealing to reduce air leakage by 10 cfm at			
							50 Pascals.		
Installation Cost		\$50	00 Es	stimated Life of Measure (yrs)		10	Energy Savings (\$/yr)	\$3	
Breakev	en Cost	\$2	29 Si	imple Payback (yrs)	1	L57	Energy Savings (MMBTU/yr)	0.1 MMBTU	
	Savings-to-Investment Ratio 0.1								
Auditors	Auditors Notes: There is some damage visible to the front entry door jam. Repair the damaged section so that the door may better seal and								
reduce a	air leakage.								

4.4 Mechanical Equipment Measures

4.4.1 Heating/ Domestic Hot Water Measure

	_								
Rank	Recommendation								
10	Replace con	trols with T775, r	eplace limit switches (aquastat, hi	temp shutoff), re	plumb boilers on nodes, install a	circ pump on each			
	node, replace boiler head or install genesis controller on boiler #2, tune and clean boilers, train operators in maintenance.								
Installat	stallation Cost \$18,000 Estimated Life of Measure (yrs) 20 Energy Savings (\$/yr) \$184								
Breakev	Breakeven Cost \$10,477 Simple Payback (yrs) 26 Energy Savings (MMBTU/yr) 2.8 MMBTU								
Savings-to-Investment Ratio0.6Maintenance Savings (\$/yr)\$500									
Auditors	Notes: The a	quastat and high	temperature cutoff switch on boile	er #2 were not w	orking properly at the time of the	audit, therefore			
replacen	nent is recom	mended. In order	to reduce heat lost to idle loss, rep	place the manual	control switch with a Tekmar T7	75 controller, replumb			
boilers o	off nodes and i	install a circ pump	o on each node. Combustion test re	esults show that b	ooiler #1 is operating at low effici	ency (72%),			
confirmi	ng a need for	tuning, cleaning a	and training in set-up and maintena	ance.					
The maintenance savings here represent extended boiler/boiler component life when boilers are regularly rotated, cleaned and tuned as well as									
the risks	and damage	associated with b	oiling water release that occasiona	Illy results from t	ne current aquastat and hi-temp	cutoff dysfunction.			
ĺ	Ũ		5		· · ·	·			

4.4.2 Night Setback Thermostat Measures

Rank	Building Spa	ice		Recommen	Recommendation			
2	New Stuyah	ok Water Plant		Implement	Implement a Heating Temperature Unoccupied Setback to 55.0			
				deg F for th	deg F for the New Stuyahok Water Plant space.			
Installation Cost \$200 Estim			Estimated Life of Measure (yrs)	15	Energy Savings (\$/	yr)	\$489	
Breakev	en Cost	\$6,499	Simple Payback (yrs)	0	Energy Savings (MM	BTU/yr)	8.8 MMBTU	
			Savings-to-Investment Ratio	32.5				
Auditors	Auditors Notes: The water plant is currently heated to 72°F at all times. Install a programmable thermostat and program a setback to 55°F during							
hours w	hen the plant	is unoccupied to	save on space heating demand.					

4.5 Electrical & Appliance Measures

4.5.1 Lighting Measures

The goal of this section is to present any lighting energy conservation measures that may also be cost beneficial. It should be noted that replacing current bulbs with more energy-efficient equivalents will have a small effect on the building heating and cooling loads. The building cooling load will see a small decrease from an upgrade to more efficient bulbs and the heating load will see a small increase, as the more energy efficient bulbs give off less heat.

4.5.1a Lighting Measures – Replace Existing Fixtures/Bulbs

Rank	Location		Existing Condition Reco		commendation		
5	5 Main Room Lighting		6 FLUOR (4) T8 4' F32T8 32W Standard Instant		Replace with new energy-effici	ent LED lighting,	
			StdElectronic with Manual Switching		replace manual switching with occupancy sensor.		
Installation Cost		\$1,40	60 Estimated Life of Measure (yrs)	15	5 Energy Savings (\$/yr)	\$266	
Breakev	en Cost	\$3,04	47 Simple Payback (yrs)	5	5 Energy Savings (MMBTU/yr)	0.9 MMBTU	
			Savings-to-Investment Ratio	2.1	1		
Auditors Notes: There are six fixtu			res with four bulbs each so a total of 2	24 4' T8 fluoresc	ent bulbs to be replaced with thei	r LED equivalent.	

Rank	ank Location		Existing Condition Rec		ecommendation			
8	Office Lighting		2 FLUOR (4) T8 4' F32T8 32W Standard Instant			Replace with new energy-efficient LED lighting,		
		StdElectronic with Manual Switching			replace manual switching with occupancy sensor.			
Installation Cost		\$8	320	Estimated Life of Measure (yrs)		15	Energy Savings (\$/yr)	\$79
Breakev	ven Cost	\$9	901	Simple Payback (yrs)		10	Energy Savings (MMBTU/yr)	0.3 MMBTU
		Savings-to-Investment Ratio			1.1			
Auditors Notes: There are two fixto				s with four bulbs each so a total of	8 4' T8 fluore	esce	nt bulbs to be replaced with thei	r LED equivalent.

Rank Location			Existing Condition			Recommendation			
13 Exterior Lighting		nting	INCAN A Lamp, Std 100W with Manual Switching		Replace with new energy-efficient LED lighting, replace manual switching with daylight sensor.				
Installation Cost		\$58	BO Estimated Life of Measure (yrs)		7	Energy Savings (\$/yr)	-\$139		
Breakev	en Cost	-\$86	52 Simple Payback (yrs)	1000		Energy Savings (MMBTU/yr)	-0.8 MMBTU		
			Savings-to-Investment Ratio -:			-1.5			
Auditors Notes: Outdoor lighting on the water treatment plant includes one single bulb wall-pack fixture. At the time of the audit the light was not in use. Install an LED equivalent with more protective fixture and daylight sensor to provide exterior lighting for the facility							e audit the exterior or the facility.		

4.5.2 Other Electrical Measures

Rank	Location	D	Description of Existing		Efficiency Recommendation		
1 Booster Pump		np Pu	Pump with Other Controls			Reduce the setpoint on the booster pumps from 63	
					psi down to 45 psi to reduce load and run time.		ad and run time.
Installation Cost		\$200	Estimated Life of Measure (yrs)		7	Energy Savings (\$/yr)	\$4,963
Breakeven Cost		\$30,722	Simple Payback (yrs)		0	Energy Savings (MMBTU/yr)	27.8 MMBTU
			Savings-to-Investment Ratio	153	3.6		
Auditors serve th boost pr lowered pumps.	s Notes: The e higher eleva ressure to 63 p and still prov	booster pumps a tion teacher hou osi. The acceptab ide sufficient pre	re the single largest electricity cons sing. At the time of the audit, they le design range for pressure at hom ssure to the higher elevation home	umers in the w were measure le connections s. Lower the se	vate ed to s is 2 etpo	er system. They add the pressure o be running 87% of the time. Th 20-80 psi. The setpoint on the bo oint to 45 psi to reduce the load	e needed to properly ey are currently set to ooster pumps could be and run time for the

Rank	Location		Description of Existing Ef		Effi	fficiency Recommendation		
3	3 Well #3 Pump		Pump with Manual Switching			Repair pressure transducer at WST, replace heat tape		
						controls to prevent freezing, control intake pump		
						based upon water storage tank level rather than		
							manually.	
Installation Cost		\$2,20	00 Es	stimated Life of Measure (yrs)		10	Energy Savings (\$/yr)	\$1,539
Breakev	ven Cost	\$15,08	89 Si	imple Payback (yrs)		1	Energy Savings (MMBTU/yr)	8.6 MMBTU
			Sa	avings-to-Investment Ratio	Ű	6.9	Maintenance Savings (\$/yr)	\$250
Auditors	s Notes: A pre	essure transduc	er in t	the water storage tank is meant	to read the d	eptl	h of water in the tank and then s	end that reading to
the wate	er plant via a t	telemetry system	m. Alt	though the telemetry system ap	pears to be fu	unct	ioning properly, the pressure tra	nsducer was not. The
heat tap	e meant to pr	revent freezing	of the	e pressure transducer and inlet	piping was als	io no	on-functional. Replace the pressu	ire transducer and
install it such that it extends farther into the tank, eliminating the need for freeze protection					n. Control the intake pumps base	ed upon the storage		
tank lev	el rather than	manually to pr	event	t tank overflow and slightly redu	ice intake pun	np r	un time.	

The maintenance savings shown here represent the extended pump life due to lower pump run time and the elimination of issues associated with tank overflow and ice build-up on and around the water tank.

Rank	nk Location		Description of Existing E		Efficiency Recommendation		
4	4 Well #2 Pump		Pump with Manual Switching			Control intake pump based upon water storage tank level rather than manually.	
Installation Cost		\$2,20	00 Estimated Life of Measure (yrs)		10	Energy Savings (\$/yr)	\$1,026
Breakev	en Cost	\$10,77	1 Simple Payback (yrs)		2	Energy Savings (MMBTU/yr)	5.7 MMBTU
			Savings-to-Investment Ratio	4	4.9	Maintenance Savings (\$/yr)	\$250
Auditors Notes: Same as above s		ie as above solu	ition for Well #3 pump.				

Rank Location			Description of Existing Efficiency		fficiency Recommendation		
11	11 Lower Loop Circ Pump		Pump with Manual Switching			Replace with Pump	
Installation Cost		\$24,000	Estimated Life of Measure (yrs)		7	Energy Savings (\$/yr)	\$909
Breakev	en Cost	\$8,732	Simple Payback (yrs)		17	Energy Savings (MMBTU/yr)	4.7 MMBTU
			Savings-to-Investment Ratio	0	0.4	Maintenance Savings (\$/yr)	\$500

Auditors Notes: There is a set of circ pumps serving each of the two distribution loops. One circ pump runs at a time. They are meant to be rotated manually on a regular basis, however, the victaulic valves that allow for rotation are very difficult open. Replace all four victaulic valves to that circ pumps may be more easily rotated/isolated and receive more even wear.

The left hand pump (when looking toward the front of the building) on the lower circ loop is being run at a lower frequency than the other pumps to run at full load and exhibits some imbalance in the three phase power legs, suggesting that the motor may need replacement. Replace motor Replace inoperable flow meters on both loops.

The maintenance savings shown here represent extended pump life associated with the ability to regularly rotate pumps and the reduced risk of failure at the victaulic valves currently requiring excessive force to open or close.

4.5.3 Other Measures

	1								
Rank	Location	D	escription of Existing		Eff	iciency Recommendation			
6		D	Distribution Loop 1 (Lower Loop) Heat Load			Replace current heat exchangers with larger ones			
						better able to meet heating demand, replace manual			
						on/off switch with T775 and mo	dulated Belimo valves		
Installat	ion Cost	\$3,500	Estimated Life of Measure (yrs)		10	Energy Savings (\$/yr)	\$		
Breakev	en Cost	\$4,265	Simple Payback (yrs)		7	Energy Savings (MMBTU/yr)	0.0 MMBTU		
			Savings-to-Investment Ratio		1.2	Maintenance Savings (\$/yr)	\$500		
Auditors	Auditors Notes: Each distribution loop has its own heat add system. The water system has had issues with freezing which may be tied to								

undersized heat exchangers in the heat add systems. Replace current heat exchangers with higher heat transfer capacity, brazed plate, double wall heat exchangers. Replace manual on/off heat add valves with T775 controller and modulated Belimo valves.

The maintenance savings represented here represent the potential reduction in service line and branch freeze-ups with heat exchangers better sized to meet current and future heating demand.

Rank	Location	C	Description of Existing E		Effi	Efficiency Recommendation		
7		C	Distribution Loop 2 (Upper Loop) Heat Load			Replace current heat exchangers with larger ones better able to meet heating demand, replace many on/off switch with T775 and modulated Belimo val		
Installat	ion Cost	\$3,500	Estimated Life of Measure (yrs)		10	Energy Savings (\$/yr)	\$	
Breakev	en Cost	\$4,265	265 Simple Payback (yrs)		7	Energy Savings (MMBTU/yr)	0.0 MMBTU	
			Savings-to-Investment Ratio		1.2	Maintenance Savings (\$/yr)	\$500	
Auditors	s Notes: Sa	me as above notes	for Distribution Loop 1.					

Rank	Location	D	escription of Existing	ription of Existing Efficiency Efficience Ef		Efficiency Recommendation	
9		Booster Pump House Heat Load				Replace water damaged section	and insulate floor.
Installation Cost		\$2,000	Estimated Life of Measure (yrs)	1	10	Energy Savings (\$/yr)	\$183
Breakev	en Cost	\$1,695	95 Simple Payback (yrs)		11	Energy Savings (MMBTU/yr)	3.6 MMBTU
			Savings-to-Investment Ratio	0).8		
Auditors Notes: The booster station floor shows major deterioration. Replace damaged flooring and insulate floor with foam panels to re					m panels to reduce		
heat los	s.						



Figure 11: Deteriorating floor in booster station.

5. ENERGY EFFICIENCY ACTION PLAN

Through inspection of the energy-using equipment on-site and discussions with site facilities personnel, this energy audit has identified several energy-saving measures. The measures will reduce the amount of fuel burned and electricity used at the site. The projects will not degrade the performance of the building and, in some cases, will improve it.

Several types of EEMs can be implemented immediately by building staff, and others will require various amounts of lead time for engineering and equipment acquisition. In some cases, there are logical advantages to implementing EEMs concurrently. For example, if the same electrical contractor is used to install both lighting equipment and motors, implementation of these measures should be scheduled to occur simultaneously.

ANTHC is currently working with the City of New Stuyahok in an effort to realize the retrofits identified in this report through funding from the Rural Alaskan Village Grant (RAVG) program. ANTHC will continue to work with New Stuyahok to secure any additional funding necessary to implement the recommended energy efficiency measures.

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Energy Audit Report – Project Summary

ENERGY AUDIT REPORT – PROJECT SUMMARY							
General Project Information							
PROJECT INFORMATION	AUDITOR INFORMATION						
Building: New Stuyahok Water Plant	Auditor Company: Alaska Native Tribal Health						
	Consortium						
Address: PO Box 10	Auditor Name: Bailey Gamble						
City: New Stuyahok	Auditor Address: 4500 Diplomacy Dr., Suite 454						
Client Name: Nick Epchook	Anchorage, AK 99508						
Client Address: PO Box 10	Auditor Phone: (907) 729-4501						
New Stuyahok, AK 99636	Auditor FAX:						
Client Phone: (907) 693-6085	Auditor Comment:						
Client FAX:							
Design Data							
Building Area: 784 square feet	Design Space Heating Load: Design Loss at Space:						
	11,051 Btu/hour						
	with Distribution Losses: 11,051 Btu/hour						
	Plant Input Rating assuming 82.0% Plant Efficiency and						
	25% Safety Margin: 16,846 Btu/hour						
	Note: Additional Capacity should be added for DHW						
	and other plant loads, if served.						
Typical Occupancy: 1 people	Design Indoor Temperature: 72 deg F (building average)						
Actual City: New Stuyahok	Design Outdoor Temperature: -19.3 deg F						
Weather/Fuel City: New Stuyahok	Heating Degree Days: 11,306 deg F-days						
Utility Information							
Electric Utility: AVEC-New Stuyahok -	Natural Gas Provider: None						
Commercial - Lg							
Average Annual Cost/kWh: \$0.610/kWh	Average Annual Cost/ccf: \$0.000/ccf						

Annual Energy Cost Estimate								
Description	Space Heating	Lighting	Other Electrical	Water Circulation	Total Cost			
Description	Space nearing	Lighting		Heat	rotar cost			
Existing Building	\$1,636	\$811	\$36,914	\$20,452	\$59,814			
With Proposed Retrofits	\$1,078	\$548	\$28,443	\$20,246	\$50,314			
Savings	\$558	\$263	\$8,472	\$206	\$9,500			

Building Benchmarks									
Description	EUI	EUI/HDD	ECI						
Description	(kBtu/Sq.Ft.)	(Btu/Sq.Ft./HDD)	(\$/Sq.Ft.)						
Existing Building	825.5	73.02	\$76.29						
With Proposed Retrofits	745.7	65.95	\$64.18						
EUI: Energy Use Intensity - The annual site energy consumption divided by the structure's conditioned area.									
EUI/HDD: Energy Use Intensity per Heating Degree Day.									
ECI: Energy Cost Index - The total annual cost of energy divided by the square footage of the conditioned space in the									
building.									

Appendix B - Actual Fuel Use versus Modeled Fuel Use

The Orange bars show Actual fuel use, and the Blue bars are AkWarm's prediction of fuel use.



Sep

Öct

Nov

Dec

Annual Energy Use

0

Feb

Mar

May

Apr

Jun

Jul

Aug

Jan

Appendix C - Electrical Demands

Estimated Peak Electrical Demand (kW)												
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Current	11.4	11.4	11.4	11.3	9.5	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.8	7.8	9.7	11.4
As Proposed	9.1	9.1	9.1	9.0	7.4	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.9	7.6	9.1

AkWarmCalc Ver 2.6.1.0, Energy Lib 8/9/2016
